

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/634,432	08/05/2003	Akira Izumi	P/1250-254	8303		
2352 7	7590 09/30/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN			LU, JIPING			
	E OF THE AMERICAS NY 100368403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			3749			
			DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/634,432		IZUMI, AKIRA				
Office Action	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Jiping Lu		3749				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on <u>13 Ju</u>	uly 2004.						
2a) This action is FINA	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) ☐ Since this application	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,4 and 9-15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-8</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/a	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmont/c)								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Paten	t Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
3) Information Disclosure Statem Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/14/2	ent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 003.		Other:	atent Application (PTC	J-132)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Fig. 1, claims 1, 2 and 5-8 in the reply filed on 7/13/2004 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 3-4, 9-15 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

 Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 7/13/2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rose et al (U. S. Pat. 5,967,156).

Rose et al show a substrate processing apparatus with a first gas discharge element 80 and a second gas discharging element 82. Both elements spray gases to the substrate at the same region 17 of the substrate 52.

5. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese patent publication No. 11-233481.

The Japanese publication shows a substrate processing apparatus with a first gas discharge element 4, a second gas discharging element 5 and a horizontal nozzle arm 3 for

Application/Control Number: 10/634,432 Page 3

Art Unit: 3749

moving the elements. Both elements 4, 5 spray gases to the substrate at the same region of the substrate 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rose et al (U. S. Pat. 5,967,156).

The substrate processing apparatus of Rose et al. as above includes all that is recited in claim 2 except for the gas flow rate. To adjust the flow rate of the first and second element is deemed to be an obvious matter of operation in adjustment in order to obtain optimal results.

9. Claims 2 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese patent publication No. 11-233481.

Art Unit: 3749

The substrate processing apparatus of JP Pub. 11-233481 as above includes all that is recited in claims 2, 6-8 except for the gas flow rate. To adjust the flow rate of the first and second element is deemed to be an obvious matter of operation in adjustment in order to obtain optimal results.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jiping Lu whose telephone number is 703-308-2354. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on 703 308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

iping Lu rimary Examiner

Art Unit 3749